California's recent decision in *Adobe Systems Inc. v. Kornrumpf*, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 12228

26

(N.D. Cal. Feb. 1, 2012). Defendants do not necessarily oppose the court's consideration of these new authorities, but they do oppose Plaintiff's request for supplemental briefing and disagree with Plaintiff's position regarding the application of these authorities to the matter before the court. The court shall grant Plaintiffs' motions to the extent that the court will consider the recently filed authorities. However, Plaintiff's request for supplemental briefing shall be denied. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's first Motion for Leave to Supplement Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiff's Claims with Recently Filed Ninth Circuit Authority (#154) is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's second Motion for Leave to Supplement Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiff's Claims with Recently Filed Ninth Circuit Authority (#157) is GRANTED. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 30th day of March, 2012. Elsihe LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE